

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_83749
Title of the Manuscript:	Appraisal the Effect of Nitrogen Phosphorus and Bio-fertilizers on Protein content in Seed of Garden Pea (Pisum sativum L.) cv. Arkel
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Overall, I found the article interesting and I think it has prospects to be accepted. Of course, the reason why phosphorus in the soil may be inaccessible to plants could be highlighted, possibly correlating with soil pH values.	All mention things checked and corrected also
Minor REVISION comments	I believe that the methodology and method of interpreting the results obtained should be presented in the material and method. I also think it would be useful to highlight the statistical part of the interpretation of the results (for example, to specify that the results are statistically significant where they are, etc....). The graph with Protein content (%) in seed can be specified on the vertical axis which represents 15.6, 21.25, 16.56 etc...	Graph and other desirable changes have been modified
Optional/General comments	I think it would be useful to correlate the phosphorus content in the soil with the pH of the soil, knowing that it is accessible to plants in a certain pH range.	has been corrected and edited also

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues