

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_76294
Title of the Manuscript:	STATE OF KNOWLEDGE AND PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF CHILLI FRUITS (CAPSICUM ANNUUM L.), "AHALIMANCOU" TYPE CONSUMED IN THE INDÉNIÉ-JUABLIN REGION (EAST OF CÔTE D'IVOIRE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The introduction provides a good, generalized background of the topic but some important points have to be clarified.</p> <p>The key word "State of knowledge" should be replace by some other word.</p> <p>Grammatical errors in the manuscript should be revised.</p> <p>Update the references and make sure half of the references are in are in recent 5 years.</p> <p>In result section the parameters of ripening stage should be define after table like PV, PJ etc.??</p>	<p>Noted</p> <p>Correction made</p> <p>Done revision</p> <p>Done</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper is well written and thoroughly explained. Illustration of Table and figures are well define and structured.</p> <p>I would suggested that manuscript should be accepted with minor correction to produce a better framework of this study.</p>	<p>Noted and effected</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	