

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_76294
Title of the Manuscript:	STATE OF KNOWLEDGE AND PHYTOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF CHILLI FRUITS (CAPSICUM ANNUUM L.), "AHALIMANCOU" TYPE CONSUMED IN THE INDÉNIÉ-JUABLIN REGION (EAST OF CÔTE D'IVOIRE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The introduction provides a good, generalized background of the topic but some important points have to be clarified. The key word "State of knowledge" should be replace by some other word. Grammatical errors in the manuscript should be revised. Update the <b>references</b> and make sure half of the references are in are in recent 5 years. In result section the parameters of ripening stage should be define after table like <b>PV, PJ</b> etc.??	Noted Correction made Done revision Done
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper is well written and thoroughly explained. Illustration of Table and figures are well define and structured. I would suggested that manuscript should be accepted with minor correction to produce a better framework of this study.	Noted and effected

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	