

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_74040
Title of the Manuscript:	Cupuaçu (Theobroma grandiflorum) culture: main pests of economic damage
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The author should display the following relevant images: the cupusu (Theobroma grandiflorum (Willd. ex Speng.) Schum), Conotrachelus humeropictus, insects belonging to the genus Conotrachelus (Coleoptera, Curculionidae), the larvae, the main pests of the Kupuazu culture-The related picture in each of the topics of LITERARY REVIEW2. The authors should describe and give the examples of the chemicals (added the chemical structures in the Table.) and technology involved in pest management.3. The authors should explained the life cycle of important pest which affected the plants.4. The authors should added the process to control the pest by using the flow chart and explain the flow chart in the text.	What the reviewer had highlighted was complied with, but it is not all pests that have the cycle, much less chemical control, there is cultural control, which were already mentioned in the article. How much the cycle exists in some literatures only about the fruit borer.
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please check the format of the references and grammatical error.	References were evaluated, some do not have a DOI and those that do not have an availability address

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	