

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_89820
Title of the Manuscript:	A Carcinosarcoma a Rare Breast Cancer In A Young Female, Case Study
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Significant of the topic: Metaplastic breast cancer (MBC) is a rare aggressive type of breast cancer, therefore, reporting a case with shared clinical experience would be very helpful and draw attention from readers</p> <p>Case presentation: The medical history and symptoms were comprehensive and well presented. However, there were no photos/figures to illustrate the description of the images. More importantly, the treatment part was omitted, even though it's one of the most important part of this case presentation. Therefore, the case is incomplete and the value of this article is low. If the treatment hasn't started yet and the case is still in the diagnosis progress (i.e. need more tests/examination), it's recommended that the authors should wait until collecting sufficient information to make this case presentation complete and more informative</p> <p>Discussion: in this part, authors basically review the literature regarding (MBC) but did not refer this specific case while discussion, therefore, this part is not well connected with the case presentation</p> <p>References: Numbering and format are confusing and inconsistent in this part</p> <p>Other: there are a lot of spelling and grammar mistakes. Some sentences were quite confusing</p>	Noted and corrected
Minor REVISION comments	The title should be changed (please see the attached file) The abbreviations should be fully explained at the first use.	Revised
Optional/General comments	Overall, this manuscript is not a complete case study and poor prepared in terms of structure, format and language editing. I'd suggest authors collect more information especially treatment before presenting this case for publication	Revised

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	