

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_88926
Title of the Manuscript:	Detection of Androgen Receptor Gene Polymorphism in Sudanese Patients of Prostate Cancer in Khartoum State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>[1] The standard of English should be improved to publishable standard and I have provided supportive corrections which the authors should reflect upon and if they are happy they should feel free to adopt the changes which would make their revision work easier in my opinion.</p> <p>[2] Conflict of interest statement – this should be included after the conclusions</p> <p>[3] Funding statement after the conclusion</p> <p>[4] Acknowledgements – the authors should thank individuals who have helped or supported them in their study.</p> <p>[5] The referencing style should be consistent as well as to conform to the style of the journal.</p>	<p>Modified as suggestion</p> <p>Comment accepted and considered</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	See above	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The authors should be encouraged to make the changes quickly so that the article can be published quickly.	Noted

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	