

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Cancer and Tumor International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_85618
Title of the Manuscript:	SOOT AND CARCINOGENESIS IN RIVERS STATE
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>This is a timely and comprehensive review about the basic information of soot and its healthy relevance. I have the following minor comments.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">(1) Since the content in this review is not restricted in cancer, I suggest the author to change a different title.(2) Tables or figures are needed for (at least) section 2.0 and 6.0.(3) I suggest the author to combine section 4.0 and 6.0 together. There is no need to use a separate section to introduce carcinogen. You need to make this part concise.(4) There is a redundant “,” in the sentence “two seasons (rainy and dry seasons) revealed that 6 metals; Cromium, Manganese,”.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Although other areas such as soot formation and route of exposure were discussed, the main content of the work rested on cancinogenesis of soot2. Tables or figures not provided3. Sections have been merged4. Sentence edited
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	