

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Cancer and Tumor International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JCTI_80938
Title of the Manuscript:	No Scar as an Indication of Perfect Wound Healing, Ugly Scar as Imperfect Wound Healing, and Cancer as Failed Wound Healing
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljcti.com/index.php/JCTI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Abstract:</b> The abstract is too long it would be preferable that the authors present it in the form of a structured abstract. Authors should mention the type of study they conducted as well as the location and duration of the study if applicable.</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> Good, line 3rd – List some consequences that can occur following the bad sicutrization of wounds.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> Figure 1 should be placed immediately after the conclusion as recommended by the journal checklist (after the 9th line of the conclusion). The caption for Figure 1 should also be inserted.</p>	<p>The abstract is reduced to one half. The location and the duration of the study is mentioned.</p> <p>Bad consequences such as ugly scar, arthritis, and cancer are mentioned.</p> <p>It is rearranged as suggested.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Overall, the subject treated by the authors is interesting. Congratulations to all the authors.	We are very pleased by the nice comments.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues involved.