

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_88877
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VITRO ANTIMITOTIC ACTIVITY AND MOLECULAR DOCKING STUDIES OF ISOLATED COMPOUNDS FROM RHYNCHOSIA BEDDOMEI
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The references are too few. Kindly insert more references in the introduction section and discussion as suggested in the comment boxes.</p> <p>The plant identification number needs to be stated in the journal.</p> <p>In the discussion section, it only discussed the pro mitotic activity of the Rhynchosia plant alone. The discussion can be made stronger when you find other potential plants with the same effect in other journals and compare with this plant and discuss on the different entities in the plants reviewed. Can add several references in this section.</p>	All the necessary corrections are incorporated as per the suggestions of the reviewer
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Good job. Well written. Only a few suggestions here and there to make the journal even better as stated in the comments above. Good luck and all the best.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	