

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_84537
Title of the Manuscript:	Dexamethasone, interleukin 6, LTB4, and the endocrine gland are the real battles for COVID-19: our molecular docking, physiological, and immunological explanations
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title does not fit a review article.</p> <p>The title is misleading: “endocrine gland”? Didn’t you mean “adrenal gland”?</p> <p>The abstract does not sound like the abstract of a review. Why finish it with the PK of the binding affinity of IL-6?</p> <p>When we call something character “sex-linked”, it means that is linked to the X or Y chromosomes. Why are you calling IL-6 “sex-linked”? Its gene is on chromosome 7.</p> <p>What did you mean by “corticosteroid proscribing globulin”?</p> <p>What did you mean by “unmarried invulnerable compartment”?</p> <p>What did you understand for “macrocytopenia” and “macroneutropenia”? These are not common terms to find in the medical literature. Are you sure that the author of the reference (9) was using properly these terms?</p> <p>The literature does not support the differentiation between “endocrine IL6” and “immune IL6”</p>	<p>Revision made accordingly</p> <p>Correction made</p> <p>Done and revised</p> <p>Done</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	