

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_83735
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF CHENOPODIUM QUINOA EXTRACT ON DIAZEPAM INDUCED MEMORY IMPAIRMENT IN ANIMAL MODELS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The theme developed in the research is interesting since it raises the use of plant extracts in the treatment of diseases in humans. However, it is necessary to complement some aspects of the manuscript in order to achieve a better understanding of the topic developed. In the abstract it is suggested to include before the objective the definition of the research problem and in the conclusion to present the impact of the results found, in order to motivate the reader to read the entire article. Make a better selection of keywords since these are the ones that will facilitate the search for the article on the internet. The introduction should include background research or studies that better support this research. In the materials and methods section, some small details that are indicated in the manuscript must be complemented. In the results and discussion section, these are presented very descriptively, a deeper analysis of them is not made with comparative studies where their importance can be evidenced. In the conclusion it is suggested to include the potential use of the results found in the research. Include more bibliographic sources that support the arguments presented throughout the document.	All the corrections were incorporated as per the suggestions given by the reviewer. I express my sincere thanks for the efforts and valuable time spent in reviewing my manuscript
Minor REVISION comments	Review in some items very long paragraphs are presented, in addition the tables or figures must be preceded by an explanatory paragraph of the same. Improve the legends of tables and figures should be more informative, they are very general.	All the minor corrections were incorporated into the manuscript.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	