

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_83573
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Some Reproductive Hormones in Acute Lead-Acetate-Induced toxicity in Male and Female Albino Rats Treated Hypoestes rosea Leaf
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Excellent Study- Very well written- Clarity of thought to the reader- Grammatical and linguistic accuracy maintained at all levels- Methodology is sufficient details to ensure reproducibility- Conclusions drawn very appropriately- Referenced and cited appropriately <p>Could Improve:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Abstract could be made more clearer – I understand the word limit but a little more clarity could be achieved by rephrasing- In the results section – the section starts with tables and then the description follows, can the tables be incorporated in between the text rather than all being put at one place	<p>Thank you for the observation and commendation</p> <p>Abstract has been made clearer.</p> <p>This is for the editors to decide.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract:</p> <p>1) EC group – please use full form of abbreviation when using for the first time</p> <p>Introduction</p> <p>1) Atreotic – atretic</p>	<p>Full form of abbreviation has been used.</p> <p>Corrections have been made.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>Well written paper</p>	<p>Thank you.</p>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No ethical issues.