

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_83573
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Some Reproductive Hormones in Acute Lead-Acetate-Induced toxicity in Male and Female Albino Rats Treated Hypoestes rosea Leaf
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ This manuscript is high quality for publication after minor revision.➤ The title is relevant as to me.➤ The title and abstract cover the main aspect of the work and informative.➤ The introduction section provides background information relevant to the study.➤ The results are novel and data generated seems plausible.➤ The methods are clear and results match with the methods➤ The manuscript is full of typo-grammatical errors which needs suitable amendment. Some mistakes are as follow➤ Except percent a unit should be kept after a space next to number: 100mg/kg, 60mg/kg, 200mg/kg and 300mg/kg➤ Space should be followed after full stop. Several evidence was showing the risk of lead toxicity on male reproductive system [8].Lead induces reduction..... while it also damages the endometrium[10].Some studies..... Amend such terms consistently.➤ Space between two words such as 'invivo' should be as in vivo and 'invitro' as 'in vitro'.➤ Merge the two paragraphs in section 2.2. in to one due to their similarity in concept➤ The conclusion was done in parallel to findings entertained. <p>Reference: references have the correct style. Better to incorporate the 'DOI' of the article cited in the reference list.</p>	<p>Thank you for the commendation.</p> <p>All typographical errors have been corrected.</p> <p>This has been corrected.</p> <p>All typographical errors have been corrected.</p> <p>Corrected.</p> <p>Paragraphs merged.</p> <p>We will add the DOIs that we can find.</p>
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">♥ I would like to recommend to use automated reference managing soft wares such as Mendeley, Endnote...♥ Better to insert captions for tables and figures in the manuscript and should be cross referenced in the text.	<p>The method used is the journal's recommended method.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues.