

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_89442 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Evaluation of Rapid Ultrasound in Shock and Hypotension (RUSH) in blunt polytrauma patients |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | Major Revision the manuscript is certainly interesting, and the topic is very relevant. There are potentially very valuable lessons to learn from this manuscript. However, many issues need to address before the manuscript is suitable for publication. Overall: 1. There are many spelling errors in this manuscript. See guide for authors for a free grammar checker. E.g.....etc. 2. No added ideas with previous study by me title: - "Accuracy and outcome of rapid ultrasound in shock and hypotension (RUSH) in Egyptian polytrauma patients." On Chinese Journal of Traumatology . 2018 Jun; 21(3): 156–162. Published online 2018 Mar 26. doi: 10.1016/j.cjtee.2017.06.009 | Noted and revised |
| Minor REVISION comments | Abstract should be informative and completely self-explanatory, - 1. Introduction: Please describe the problem or lack of knowledge that address by this study. 2. Aim of the study: please added the aims or purposes of the research and its relationship with other studies in the field. In addition, 3. Methods: relevant. 4. The result; - the results section should give some data, which should provide some evidence to support your hypothesis. 5. The conclusion good 6. The keywords are following the abstract, use about five to 10 key words do not mention in the title so please changes these words | Revised |
| Optional/General comments | Introduction 1. The introduction is ideally in structures Methods 1.The methods section should write in a way that everyone could repeat this study in the same manner. 2. How was the sample size of 100 reached? 3. You should add study question, primary objectives and secondary objectives if available. 4. Patient selection: How were the patients selected, who selected the patients, on what criteria. How many patients exclude? Were patients prospectively enrolling or retrospectively assessed? 5. Who obtained the consent? How and when consent obtain from patients. Results 1. is concise and good RESULTS. Discussion 1.Do the authors have an explanation on why the results are different compared to other studies? 2. The last paragraphs of the discussion are very relevant. Please add some suggestions on how such problems can improve in your own hospital. How did you manage other problems? Share some solutions that applied after this research, so that other hospitals with similar problems can benefit from this research. Limitations of the study: - | All the necessary corrections were done as indicated |

Review Form 1.6

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | <p>You should add</p> <p>Conclusion: is logic in manner and relevant.</p> <p>Recommendations you should add</p> <p>References: 1. Please use uniform references, when available with DOI. 2. Make sure update the old references number</p> | |
|--|--|--|

PART 2:

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |