

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_88948
Title of the Manuscript:	DRUG UTILIZATION EVALUATION IN COVID- 19 HOSPITALIZED PATIENTS: A RETROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Very long paragraphs and are sometimes difficult to understand.</li><li>2. The importance of the work should be highlighted. Put the most relevant results and support them with references.</li><li>3. Review the citations in the text and that it corresponds with the references.</li><li>4. It is noted that it is a cut and paste of other works (wikipedia).</li><li>5. Review the text very well since when changing the font format the symbol format has been lost, example: □- interferon</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1 Where ever it is possible simplified</li><li>2. As per the authors suggestions most relevant results were included and supported with the references.</li><li>3. citations were given were correspondence with the given</li><li>4. not pasted any work , written as of its own</li><li>5. Font format were changed has given in the example was considered and changed</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1.- Change "&amp;" to "and"</li><li>2.- There are 2 types of fonts in the text.</li><li>3.- Reference 5 is from the autor Kamsali Hema and not from the author Peddoju Moulika.</li><li>4.- In Discussion, put the % percent better than n(%). It's easier to read that way. example: "Oseltamivir was the most widely used antiviral, which was administered to 51.6% patients"</li><li>5.- The table 1 Table 1 can be gender vs age. It would give us much more information.</li></ol>	Changed
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	It is good research, but better results can be obtained if the results obtained are better expressed. Congratulations.	Thanks for suggesting your views on this manuscript , considered all the necessary suggestions given

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	