

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_88722
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Three-Dimensional Power Doppler Ultrasonography In Diagnosis Of Placenta Accreta Versus Two-Dimensional And Color Doppler Ultrasonography
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Extensive editing of English language and style required.2. The research design appropriate should be improved.3. The results clearly presented should be improved.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Thanks2. Thanks3. Thanks4.
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The study concerns the Role of Three-Dimensional Power Doppler Ultrasonography In Diagnosis Of Placenta Accreta Versus Two-Dimensional and Color Doppler Ultrasonography It seems to be quite interesting, however many concerns are pointed below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. There is a lack of accurate data (number) regarding the consent of the Ethical Committee.2. Abstract should be improved and focus on the high significant results.3. All abbreviation should be defined under Tables and Figures.4. Figures 4 and 5 should described	Thanks Thanks Thanks Thanks Thanks
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Informed consent statement should be clear and in details with code number2. Data availability statement should be clear3. Institutional review board statement should be clear and in details with code number4. Is there any conflicts of interest?	no