

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_88603
Title of the Manuscript:	Greek public knowledge and attitudes in response to the Covid-19 pandemic
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This study is about knowledge, attitude and perceptions of Greek population on COVID 19 pandemic.</p> <p>The topic has lost much of its relevance in time, as if the knowledge needed to be improved and attitude or perceptions needed to be changed by action of govt. policies or medical fraternity, the major waves of covid are already over, affecting almost a very large population over the globe.</p> <p>Many articles on the same topic from different parts of the world have already flooded the literature (Assessment of knowledge gaps and perceptions about COVID-19 among health care workers and general public-national cross-sectional study, Journal of Anaesthesiology Clinical Pharmacology: Jul-Sep 2020 - Volume 36 - Issue 3 - p 337-344 doi: 10.4103/joacp.JOACP_326_20).</p> <p>However, lessons learnt from this pandemic may be useful for containing spread of any infection or pandemic in future.</p> <p>Some suggestions for the article are</p> <p>Introduction and methods- ok</p> <p>Results- too much repetition of text and tabulated data. Can be concised.</p> <p>Discussion- Just results are repeated. No references. No comparison with any other data.</p> <p>Can include the above study in references.</p>	<p><b>Dear reviwer, thank you for your suggestions, all of which have been answered on the text in yellow text.</b></p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	