

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_88435 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Outcome of Laparoscopic Management of undisturbed Ectopic Pregnancy versus Medical Management |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | Accept after revision | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | Grammar should be revise Check typos and spelling errors | Thanks Tanks |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Add following references Saleh HS, Mowafy HE, Hameid AA, Abdelsalam WA, Sherif HE. Double versus single dose methotrexate regimens in management of undisturbed ectopic pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol Int J. 2016;5(7):00184. Mohamad KG. Laparoscopic versus medical treatment of undisturbed ectopic pregnancy, Minia University Hospital. Evidence Based Women's Health Journal. 2018 Aug 1;8(3):195-8. | Thanks Tanks Thanks Thanks |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | no |