

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_88108
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Revised Trauma Score in Polytraumatized Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Too many tables and figures that do not provide much information except for table 3 which summarizes the parameters of the study.	-paper was revised and unnecessary figures were removed.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Put the numbers or percentage in the figure 3- You have put a lot of figures that are only repetitions of the text already correctly mentioned above (fig 1, Fig 2, fig 3, fig 4, fig 5, 6, 7, 8, 9). some can be removed because they just make the work less efficient.- What was the percentage of the “Other bony fractures include fracture humerus, radius, ulna, clavicle, tibia and fibula”.?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- figure 3 removed.-unnecessary figures were removed-percentage of other bony fractures was not accurately known and doesn't affect our result.
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- what was the survival rate for patients who underwent surgery?- Thanks, the authors for their excellent manuscript, well written and easy to read.	-RTS depends on physiological signs and we found that surgery to trauma patients doesn't directly affect mortality.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	<p>As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the authors.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The patients have the right to refuse participation without affecting medical care.• The patients have the right to withdraw from the study at any time without any penalty.• Confidentiality of all data and results of all study population will be preserved and names will be replaced by codes.• Patients will be informed about any abnormal results of procedures.