

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_86875
Title of the Manuscript:	Hysteroscopy versus Three-dimensional Transvaginal ultrasonography for the Detection of Endometrial Cavity Abnormalities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Kindly, edit and proofread the manuscript for grammatical, typographical, and syntax errors-kindly .It appears the sample size calculation was missing in the methodology section the manuscript include it to improve-State how you will summarise the data based on its distribution e.g symmetrical continuous data can be summarised using means and standard deviation while asymmetrical -median and range; categorical -frequencies and percentages- or R X C 2x2provide a Please table showing the number of cases identified correctly or TVUS or Hystrosopy before the Table -D3 ;e.i 'tests or investigations'not by each of the .d flowPPV and accuracy for ease of understating an ,NPV ,specificity ,showing sensitivity- Insert intext references as specified in the discussion.	Comment accepted and considered
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-You may use the new name of the statistical software that was used for the data analysis	
Optional/General comments	Good and interesting work	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	