

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_86875
Title of the Manuscript:	Hysteroscopy versus Three-dimensional Transvaginal ultrasonography for the Detection of Endometrial Cavity Abnormalities
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	My comments To Author(s) In Table 1. Please Use “Abnormal Uterine Bleeding” (AUB) for all AVB, Menorrhagia Menometrorrhagia... and postmenopausal bleeding In Table 5. Please use PPV and NPV not (+)ve and (-)ve as in the Table 4 You are testing a diagnostic method so focus on the accuracy rates. In discussion there are many numbers and authors' names. This type of section may not be a reader friendship. Please omit some numbers and authors names. Combine the similar results and give simple expressions. Thank you.	Noted and corrected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	