

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_85993
Title of the Manuscript:	A prospective study of Leptospirosis as a differential diagnosis of Dengue like illness
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	No ethical approval for the study. The ethical approval number should be included.	Approval was taken before preceding the study.
Minor REVISION comments	Typographical errors in the abstract; Lab should be written in full SGOT/SGPT should be written in full initially before subsequent abbreviation Data collection: Change 'in the month of August...' to 'from....' The explanation of figure 1 is not clear, how was the 80% gotten? Its not shown on the pie chart, please describe what is presented on the figure. Discussion: Syndrome-based (highlighted in yellow) Reference: Check Ref. 11 highlighted in yellow Check ref 3, 4, 6, 11, 12, 14: according to Vancouver referencing style, et al is used after the 6 th author is written	Resolved all the comments
Optional/General comments	Good study, hope the study will be completed so that more robust conclusions will be made	Thank you. We will come up with more robust conclusion.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Yes, no ethical approval was indicated to carry out the study. No informed consent was seen to be obtained to carry out the study	