

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_85578
Title of the Manuscript:	Delayed Psychological impacts of Covid 19 and Confinement Strategy : Distillates of a prospective Study from North Kashmir
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>1 It is difficult to know the objective of this study from the title, not clear whether it intends to explain the delayed impact of Covid-19 disease itself or the confinement strategy? Or both?</p> <p>2)it is not known which qualitative method the authors used?</p> <p>3) the findings and recommendations should appear on the abstract</p> <p>4) The type of questionnaire shall be described. is it fully standardized or adapted by the authors? How many "yes" or how many "no" replies are to be regarded as having a mental impact?</p> <p>5) where is the result coming from mobile interaction? We are only seeing the questionnaire results.</p>	<p>1. The title intends to explain both, delayed impacts and confinement strategy.</p> <p>2. Authors used mobile call interactions pertaining to 14 thematic queries and the responses were recorded.</p> <p>3. The suggestions have been incorporated in the abstract.</p> <p>4. It is an adapted questionnaire , 55 % yes /no are regarded as having an impact.</p> <p>5. During the pandemic, data was collected through mobile interactions and response to the</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>1)the figure needs description and it shall be placed in the results section</p> <p>2) in the body of this paper, the word "mental impacts" is repeatedly used instead of "psychological impacts" which is available at the very title. It is better to look words seriously.</p> <p>3)What statistical analysis is made? Merely frequency?</p> <p>4) follow a similar style for all references, do not mix</p> <p>5) do not use informal abbreviations</p>	<p>1. Suggestions have been incorporated</p> <p>2. the title has been modified to suite the suggestions.</p> <p>3. there were yes/no responses for n=301 patients , so an elaborate statistical analysis could not be done.</p> <p>4. uniform style of references has been incorporated.</p> <p>5. agreed</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>The paper has a serious wording problem. Better to use correct and simple words as well keep the paragraphs that I arranged.</p>	<p>suggestions incorporated</p>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p> <p>Authors need to be clear on whether oral or written consent did they obtain from participants</p>	Yes, written consent has been taken for two studies, immediate (published) and the delayed impacts (under publication).Emergency authorization was obtained from Medical Superintendent of the hospital for conducting the study in early 2020 which was the peak time of the pandemic..