

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_85402
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation Between Changes in End-Tidal Carbon Dioxide and Stroke Volume Variation Detected by Electrical Cardiometry as a Predictor of Fluid Volume Responsiveness in Hemodynamically Unstable Patients in the Intensive Care
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. At first I would like to thank you for your manuscript, the general aim of the work is a good and clinically important idea. The study is well designed and the results and limitations of the study are very clearly explained.</p> <p>2. Attention should be paid to spelling rules and punctuation marks.</p> <p>3. Some of the clerical error which lead to not-understanding of the readers, especially readers from non-English speaking countries, are, “a written informed CONSENT was obtained from the patients” , “ embryonic patients were excluded” , “while the lower limbs were elevated to a 45 DEGREE angle”, the primary endpoint IS MAP>65mmHg or reaching...”, and the first 4 paragraphs of the Discussion section, which has to be written all over again in a native and fluent English.</p> <p>4. The significant different results in duration of MV and ICU stay should take up more space in Discussion, which are just mentioned in the Results section.</p> <p>5. Maybe some newer published references can be added to Discussion.</p>	Modified
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	