

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_85210
Title of the Manuscript:	HYSTEROSALPINGOGRAPHY FINDINGS AMONG WOMEN PRESENTING FOR INFERTILITY EVALUATION IN BAYELSA STATE, SOUTH-SOUTH NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1- Conclusion is too long , please revise and summarise.2- Not all references were written in the same style,3- No need to discuss by equations how the sample size was calculated...it is better to write that ss is calculated by equation depending on the prevalence of infertility.4- It is better to but the figure of normal finding in the beginig.	<p>Thank you for your review. It has added to the quality of the paper.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The conclusion has been reduced, and highlighted in yellow.2. All the references have been put in the same style, and highlighted.3. The sample size calculation helps to show how the number of women that participated in the research was arrived at. The authors prefer to leave it as it is.4. The figure with the normal finding is now the first figure. See highlights.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	