

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_84943
Title of the Manuscript:	Roles of NK cells in sepsis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. In this article, the data is collected from public database which contains 4 samples of control group and 2 samples of patients with sepsis, and the author finds out the different gene between these 2 groups, but it may be too few samples, the result are not convincing enough, it would be better to add more samples. 2.As author points out, these genes are effective in the autoimmune response, it would be better to verify these genes expression by experiment, like western blot or qPCR. 3. The reason why author chooses to exam the NK cell of sepsis patients and healthy group is insufficient, it would be better to discuss more reasons.	1-Unfortunately, since there has not been much research on the roles of NK cells in sepsis and we could not find any research that fits our criteria, we can only do this research with the available data. 2-Unfortunately, we could not find enough budget for PCR.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	