

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_84826
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Ultrasound Guided Thoracic Erector Spinae Plane, Thoracic Paravertebral and Thoracic Epidural Blocks for Pain Management after Nephrectomy
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<div>I think it is correct but I request minor changes:</div> <div>Background: Thoracic epidural and paravertebral blocks carry many risks and hazardous complications when performed for adequate pain management after nephrectomy. Therefore, we evaluated the efficacy and safety of Erector spiane block (ESB) as an alternative block compared to thoracic paravertebral (TPVB) and epidural block (TEB) for pain management after nephrectomy - Correct the misspelled word "spiane".</div> <div>- I suggest adding a Conclusion at the end of the Discussion. It needs to be completed for the work to be properly organized.</div>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	