

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_84783
Title of the Manuscript:	CANCER MORBIDITY IN NIGERIAN FEMALES: THE NEED FOR A GRASSROOTS APPROACH TO ITS PREVENTION AND EARLY DETECTION.
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ The author can mention reference as superscript➤ The author can mention sample size in above each table in the result chapter➤ The author can mention reliability & validity of the tools➤ The author can mention limitation and recommendation for the study➤ The author can mention source of support for the study➤ The author can mention patient consent also➤ The author can be mention regarding acknowledgment➤ The author can mention ethical consideration➤ The author can mention conflict of interest if any➤ The author can concise discussion chapter➤ Kindly take care about alignment, grammar and spelling in this manuscript	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ All the references are now cited in superscript➤ The sample size is already mentioned (3879) in the top panels of both tables➤ Valid and reliable statistical tools were utilised in our analysis➤ Limitation of the study and recommendations have been included➤ The study was funded entirely by the authors.➤ No contact was made with the patient. It was a retrospective study based on archival data, and there were no ethical issues involved.➤ An acknowledgement section has already been included➤ The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There were no ethical issues with this study, as it was conducted in retrospect using data on cancer diagnosis retrieved from departmental records, without compromising the identities of the patients.