

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_84571
Title of the Manuscript:	A Mass Occupied In Neck Carotid Bifurcation Territory
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>In this manuscript, the authors report a case study of a patient presenting with a mass in the right neck that was diagnosed as sebaceous adenocarcinoma. It is an interesting report, however I have a few considerations to improve quality and clarity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- There are some problems in language and spelling. A throughout checking is recommended.- The title could be improved to be clearer and more understandable.- The supplementary data mentioned in the manuscript are missing.- The authors should further discuss Fig. B, mainly highlighting the findings obtained by the histological analysis.- In the abstract, the authors mention that the pathological diagnosis of the case was sebaceous adenocarcinoma. However, in the discussion section they state that the final conclusion still needs pathological diagnosis.- A statement of ethical consent was not provided. Authors must indicate in the manuscript whether informed consent was obtained from the patient.	<p>Thanks to the reviewers for reviewing the article and the professors for their careful guidance. Based on the reviewers' comments, we gradually revised and added supplementary materials, and marked the changes in the text with yellow.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Described above.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Described above.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	