

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_84389
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPERIENCE OF ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIPS AND LEVEL OF DEPRESSION AND ANXIETY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>It would have been very interesting to know if the subjects were selected from a non-clinical population - as an inclusion criterion. And also, for how long the married couples are married.</p> <p>I consider this study as a very interesting one considering the results and for future research: for clinical population (with anxiety or depression) or for the same subjects for how long the negative correlation between is maintained in time.</p>	<p>Thank you for the important comment. All participants were heathy, without any diagnosis of psychiatric illness. This issue is already mentioned in the manuscript text. Also we see that you pointed that marital status is the main point of the research, so we have corrected the title of the manuscript along with: Marital status and level of Depression and Anxiety</p> <p>However we havn’t colleted the information how long married couples are married.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	