

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_84099
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of the effect of methylprednisolone on neck pain
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Congratulations to the authors for the article. I found the original theme. I have some considerations to make.</p> <p>In general I found the authors to be shy with the article. I would like to present this published article : "Ghasemi, M., Masaeli, A., Rezvani, M., Shaygannejad, V., Golabchi, K., & Norouzi, R. (2013). Oral prednisolone in the treatment of cervical radiculopathy: A randomized placebo controlled trial. Journal of research in medical sciences: the official journal of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, 18(Suppl 1), S43–S46."</p> <p>I think this article represents the future of the authors article. I think they need to give us more details so that the work is presented more robustly and has a better chance of being published.</p> <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- You can get straight to the point, starting with the main subject of the neck pain study, bringing details of the definition, clinic, world epidemiology and the study fee, justifying the importance of carrying out the study.- Purpose is sub-section of the introduction. Represents the last paragraph. <p>methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- There are many details and missing elements: study scenario, definition of the type of study, research participants, data collection methods, variables, exposure and outcome, analysis statistics. see :Pakes G.E. (2001). Writing manuscripts describing clinical trials: a guide for pharmacotherapeutic researchers. The Annals of Pharmacotherapy, 35(6), 770-779. https://doi.org/10.1345/aph.10252. <p>Results.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- can be more detailed and explored in tables and graphs. <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- insufficient	<p>Noted</p> <p>Added</p> <p>Correction made</p> <p>Done revision</p> <p>OK</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	