

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_84036
Title of the Manuscript:	Studies on Traumatic Head Injuries and their Predictive Factors at Mnazi Mmoja Hospital Zanzibar
Type of the Article	Retrospective study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Topic need to be revised: A study to determine the incidence and prevalence's of Traumatic Head Injuries in Zanzibar. Case study of Mnazi Mmoja Hospital. 2. Use the word client instead of patient because the study deal with out-patients and not inpatient. 3. What are the study objectives? The analysis was supposed to target the objectives. 4. Study population should include the total number of client participant that were recruited for the study duly represented with capital letter 'N' and the sample size were supposed to be drawn out of the population following a sample size calculation formular. Represent the ideal sample size with small letter 'n'. this need to reflect the this study . 5. The results were supposed to be objective driven and it will be easy to comprehend with addition of graphical representation. 6. The tittle of table 3 need to be charge to reflect the exact message such as Prevalences of THI according to classification. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1 A study to determine the prevalence of Traumatic Head Injuries in Zanzibar: Case study of Mnazi Mmoja Hospital. 2. Retrospective data concerning head injury were collected. Data was extracted from the ptients' medical record. So we go with word patient 3. This study is aimed at assessing the prevalence and predictive factors of Traumatic head injury at a tertiary institution health facility in Zanzibar. Data were abstracted on the following variables: socio-demographic factors, cause of injury, management and interventions at Mnazi Moja Hospital (outcome), the severity of the head injury. 4. All cases of TBI presented at the emergency unit in MMH comprising both males and females of different age groups (children, adults, and elderly people) involved in head injury were included and referral cases were excluded. 5. The objectives have been addressed 6. That has been done: Prevalence of the Head injuries among the participants
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Your use of the word "outcome, predictive and burden is not clear. Are you talking In terms of outcome of the management or what? 2. Was analyzing the prevalence's of THI part of the objective? If no, why do you include report on the prevalence in this study? 3. The aim mentioned in the introductory part of the abstract was not included in the introduction of the main manuscript which is (this study is aimed at assessing the burden and predictive factors of Traumatic head injury at Mnazi Mmoja Hospital, 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.Yes we are referring to the outcome of intervention and management 2. Yes it was part of it, that why we included the report on the prevalence in this study 3.The aim has been corrected to reflect the prevalence and factors responsible for occurrence of head injuries in Zanzibar

Review Form 1.6

	<p>Zanzibar). The result and discussion doesn't not address or show the burden and as you have read it in several articles including the study done in 6 hospitals in Tanzania that road accident crash is the leading cause of THI. That nullify your prediction because by research it has already been established. So your aim need to be changed.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This manuscript need extensive syntax and grammatical corrections (Work closely with English tutor for appropriate rewording)2. Use a uniform font letters3. Avoid using personal statement such as 'OUR, MY, WE' etc. Rather use a generic word like 'THE study4. Avoid repetition or tautology5. This study lack clarity, what are the objectives, rationale, inclusion and exclusion criterial and most importantly the hypothesis that guide this work? All these were supposed to be captured in your introduction as part of the foundation that the study was drawn on.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	