

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_83945
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological profile and the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern in endotracheal tube tip culture/ endotracheal aspirates of mechanically ventilated patients at a tertiary care hospital in Kashmir valley: A cross sectional study.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Abstract should not exceed 250 words. 2. Keywords should not include abbreviation, write full word. 3. Two paragraphs include the same author, place merge two paragraph in one references. 4. Delete word of 12 months from methods.	1. Agreed: Thankyou for the valuable suggestion,i have shortened the abstract 2. Agreed (keywords have been corrected) 3. Agreed(corrected) 4. Agreed (Edited)
Minor REVISION comments	Arrangement and coordination margins of paper, paragraphs, and tables.	Agreed and alligned
Optional/General comments	The researcher should remember the following Limitation and Strength of the Study Ethical Considerations Competing Interests Acknowledgements	NO ethical issues NO financial support NO conflicts of interests

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO ethical issues seen