

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_83834
Title of the Manuscript:	Validation of Electrical Cardiometry Measurements Compared to Transthoracic Echocardiography in Fluid Responsiveness in Sepsis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ This manuscript is high quality for publication after minor revision.➤ The title is relevant as to me.➤ The title and abstract cover the main aspect of the work and informative.➤ The introduction section provides background information relevant to the study.➤ The results are novel and data generated seems plausible.➤ The methods are clear and results match with the methods➤ I recommend typo-grammatical errors which needs suitable amendment.➤ The conclusion was done in parallel to findings entertained. <p>Reference: references have the correct style. Better to incorporate the 'DOI' of the article cited in the reference list.</p>	Revised
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">♥ I would like to recommend to use automated reference managing soft wares such as Mendeley, Endnote...♥ Better to insert captions for tables in the manuscript and should be cross referenced in the text.	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	