

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_83501
Title of the Manuscript:	Giant cell arteritis: Does perivascular inflammation on biopsy lead to clinical disease?
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- The manuscript need proofreading and correct the grammars, spelling and punctuation mistakes. 2- The results are displayed poorly, in addition to that, there is no indication of tables during the results display. 3- The number of samples is small, and there is no consistency in the total number. It is preferable to take samples for males and samples for females and compare between them.	1- Grammatical errors and spelling errors have been fixed. See highlighted areas. 2- Tables 1 and 2 are alluded to in the Results section on page 5. Tables 3 and 4 are alluded to in the Results section on pages 6 and 7. 3- Small sample size is an acknowledged limitation. Sex distribution is similar between the main groups of interest being the transmural and perivenular groups so direct comparison by sex should not be necessary.
Minor REVISION comments	Correct all comments	
Optional/General comments	Correct all comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	