

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_83501
Title of the Manuscript:	Giant cell arteritis: Does perivascular inflammation on biopsy lead to clinical disease?
Type of the Article	Original article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	No	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Inclusion of photomicrographs for GCA showing the deferent types of inflammation (perivascular- transmural)  - Include the degree of inflammation (mild-moderate –sever) for perivascular or transmural) -	Regrettably due to the age of temporal biopsy samples we no longer have access to pathology slides as our pathology lab no longer has them. The review by our pathologist Dr. Ronald Hamilton occurred several years ago when samples were able to be accessed and still in storage locally. Due to this we are unable to provide pictures of the photomicrographs or have them assessed for degree of inflammation as this data was not collected previously.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	