

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_81878
Title of the Manuscript:	SCIATICA AND URINARY INCONTINENCE – LONGITUDINAL ONE YEAR EVIDENCED RESEARCH WITH PHYSIOTHERAPY
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The data shows the three months time period. You can add the later follow up conducted in the period of one year by adding the one or more columns in the tables entitled treatment, clinical prognosis and results if possible. Please explain it as the longitudinal studies track the same sample/cases at different points in time. Your title and data time period should be matched to prove that it is on longitudinal scale.</p> <p>And if you are still working on the same data, please mention in the methodology part and write down every specific detail in methodology with clarity.</p> <p>Please mention in the methodology section that you have taken the ethical consent from the subject to write the case study.</p> <p>The scales used in study as VAS, ADL, Incontinence impact questionnaire on Quality of life, Oswestry scale and others should be discussed in detail in the methodology section.</p> <p>If pads tests were done for urine leakage, please write down the details of leakage from first visit to the follow up visits.</p> <p>Any tool or instrument used in the study should be mentioned very simply and clearly in the methodological section of study to make the paper scientific.</p> <p>A Table on ADL activities can be prepared by putting the time period in the rows section and improved ADL scores or its percentages in the columns sections, visit wise or time period wise to explain it more clearly. Then give a thorough explanation of all the results of scales.</p> <p>In the same way, Incontinence impact questionnaire on Quality of life (QOL) and Oswestry scale tables can be prepared and explained in the results section. Then, write the details regarding the tables.</p> <p>Description of 1, 2 and 3 in Results &amp; Clinical Prognosis section should be explained in details as these are the scientific basis of paper.</p> <p>In the discussion part, write all the scales results while correlating it to the previous done studies.</p> <p>Write down the ADL improvement scores, kegels strengthening of muscles, other physiotherapy sessions exercises which exhibited improvement and shows the association of all these score results with prior conducted studies.</p>	<p>Further continuation were not done due to Covid 19 Lockdown in March 2020, and the subject had a cardiac arrest and died in April 2020, hence electrophysiology details related to this research could not be collected as peer reviewer comments.</p> <p>Methodology was changed.</p> <p>Tables were created as per Reviewer guidance.</p> <p>Discussion, whereas pointed corrections were carried out.</p>

Review Form 1.6

	The discussion part should be consistent, systematic and match in accordance with the results. Further it should be correlated with the previous conducted studies in coherence. It should be discussed precisely with accurate expression of details.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Write the paper in smooth and coherent way. It should be written in consistency while considering and explaining all the scales and exercise regimes in details in all parts of the paper.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Write the number of the references in brackets where there are referred in the study.	

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer’s comment</b>	<b>Author’s comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	The author declares no conflict of interest.