

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_81562
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of point of care ultrasound in confirmation of endotracheal tube placement in children
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Aim of the work: instead of ETT implantation it should be ETT placement.</p> <p>Patient & Methods: The cuff's upper end was fastened at the 3rd or 4th tracheal ring. Meaning of this sentence is not clear and is not relevant and no of patients selected for study and the groups are not defined in patients and methods.</p> <p>Results: According to author first line of discussion says that no method is 100% accurate whereas results of the study speak otherwise, e.g., author in results wrote Capnography had Sensitivity 100%, Specificity 100%, Positive Predictive value (PPV) 100%, Negative Predictive value (NPV) 100%. This shows capnography is 100% full proof method. Which two groups are taken it is not mentioned and no tables or graphs are there confirm it? Moreover, if two groups are not there then chi square and student t test are used how and on which specific data.</p> <p>Discussion: The time required to confirm ETT intubation is an important consideration for any method used Trans-tracheal ultrasonography can be used to confirm intubation while it's being done or after it's finished. This paragraph is not clear and don't give any information. Discussion needs improvement and more studies need to be quoted.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Changed- done- done- done
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Language needs revision.</p> <p>Concept of study and results are not very clear</p>	Revised done
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Nil