

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_80888
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study between the Efficacy of Interalesional Triple Therapy by (5-Fluorouracil, Triamcinolone and Hyaluronidase) Versus Interalesional Drug Monotherapy in the Treatment of Keloid
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1.keloid was the most nusty complication of I scar formation</p> <p>2. abstract in method pargraph (group 1 soluotion only two combination not triple correct it),period of time of collection of data also should be written</p> <p>2.patient and method in abstract was deffecient</p> <p>A. age of patient , sex play very important role in healing and response not mentionied</p> <p>B. which type of scar and at which region from the body which involved in the study its also affect the result and to compare without bais</p> <p>C.aim of study was missing</p> <p>3.introudiction written in a good way despite grammar mistakes</p> <p>4.patient and methods ,the patient information was deficient its not clear type of scar ,cause of scar,site of scar and number of each case</p> <p>5.the result was clear</p> <p>6.the discussion its better to explain why triple treatment give you this significant result when compared with single treatment</p> <p>7.refferences is not update some of it more than 19 years</p>	All the necessary corrections were done as indicated
Minor REVISION comments	There were multiple grammar mistake	Grammatical mistakes are rectified
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	