

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_89359
Title of the Manuscript:	On The Fast Evaluation Of Polynomials
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In my opinion, it should be written with a research method. Some figures and tables are not in the appropriate sentence. Figure 3 and Table 1 are in "Conclusions and Further Research", and should be in "Results and Discussion". Give a short explanation to describe Algorithm 3.1 (Modified Horner for Sparse Polynomials).	Thank you for noticing that the figures have been moved. This is a Latex editing problem that we have fixed. We have given a short explanation of the algorithm.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	