

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_88388
Title of the Manuscript:	SIR Model for COVID-19 dynamics incorporating Clinical Management
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The manuscript is good but needs to reconstruct where there are some important points have been highlighted that the authors must take it in account to make the manuscript ready for publicationIt is better to rephrase the title appropriately.The abstract is poorly written and doesn't contain the final results and the main conclusion.	Thank you so much for the review. Title - Previously, I was working on a 'Mathematical Model of COVID-19 disease incorporating clinical management' but during presentation, my panellists resolved to a specific item and so we settled on 'SIR Model'. Abstract -Have made changes. Incorporated my stability analysis results.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The Introduction section of the manuscript needs additional information details where the problem and objective of research are not well described	Done.
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The research work requires more edit for further clarity as well as rewrite the manuscript scientifically	Noted.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	