

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_87715
Title of the Manuscript:	Numerical simulation of the evolution of wound healing in the 3D environment
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Conclusion needs refining. Others' achievements need to be summarized and refined, and then lead to their own innovations. The abstract part is not concise enough.	The discussion and conclusion have been separated to provide more clarity. The abstract has been modified.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The phenomenon in the diagram needs explanation and analysis. The keyword is inaccurate. Three-dimensional and two-dimensional assumptions are the same? R = 0.2 S = 1 in this paper represents the meaning?	Explanation has been provided for the diagram. Three-dimensional and two-dimensional assumptions were the same. This has been stated in the text.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	There is no need to quote the same article many times in a sentence. The content pointed by the arrow in the figure is not prominent. The meaning of the arrow is explained in the text.	All identified issues have been addressed. The observations and comments are highly appreciated.

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	