

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_86823
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of orthogonal projectors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The title and secondary titles of the paper are "1 Introduction 1.1 Properties of Orthoprojectors 1.2 Conclusion" , "conclusion" is a contained part of the "introduction", which makes the structure of the paper unreasonable. 2. Inconsistent formatting of "References". 3. The English language used needs substantial improvements.	1. The structure of the paper has been corrected to make each section distinct, that is, " 1 Introduction, 2 Properties of Orthoprojectors and 3 Conclusion ". 2. The reference has been corrected and is now consisted. 3. The language used is substantial and can easily be understood by mathematicians.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.