

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	MS_JAMCS_85206
Title of the Manuscript:	PM2.5 prediction of innovation priority discrete 2 nonlinear gray model based on gray wolf 3 optimization algorithm
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Doesn't arise	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. At the end of the second line in the ABSTRACT, an extra comma is seen and is to be removed.2. Reference number [14] is not in the same format.3. For the discrete gray model, PM2.5 There is still a lot of digging space in the forecasting research of Here the highlighted word is not required.4. Just before the conclusion, the sentence "In DGM(1,1), GM(1,1) models." Is not complete.	Noted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Title of Figure 1. Can be written inside the page margin.	Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	