

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_84860
Title of the Manuscript:	H PID control for discrete-time network control systems with redundant channels under dynamic event-triggered scheme
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Firstly, I would like to congratulate the authors of this work, below are some comments to contribute;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Please rewrite the Abstract of this work, between 200-300, highlighting the importance of this work for the world scientific community;- Please enter 5 relevant keywords;- I suggest explaining better the Figure n° 01, what is the importance of this figure;- Please insert the Topic named Future Works, right after the Conclusion, to evidence the importance and continuity of this work;	<p>Thank you for your valuable comments, we have made corresponding changes to the article according to your suggestions. Details as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Thank you for your suggestion and we have fleshed out the abstract and made it more detailed to explain the importance of the article.- We have increased the keywords to five according to your suggestion.- We have added an explanation of Figure 1 at the beginning of the second part of the article.- Adding a future work section will make the article look more complete, thanks for your suggestion, we have added a sixth part to the text.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- I suggest and recommend inserting a table, inserting all the formulas and equations in this article, I believe it is better organized;- I suggest inserting the Figures at the end of the article, throughout the article, making the figure more evident when named/called within the article;	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Thanks for your suggestion, inserting a table with all the formulas will make this article easier for readers to read, but there are difficulties in inserting the above table as this article has many formulas and each formula is long. I will use your valuable comments in future articles with fewer formulas.- Thank you for your suggestion and we have adjusted the figures position so that the figures can be positioned more accurately when referenced.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	