

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_83290
Title of the Manuscript:	Stepwise inversion of large matrices with the Gauss-Jordan vector transformation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>I personally liked the paper. Well structured, well explained, and seems to achieve the proposed objectives. It's really didactic. I emphasize the inclusion of numerical examples to illustrate the method, which is very valuable in works involving linear algebra. Naturally I have no way of verifying the implementations made by the authors, but I assume that all the simulations are correct. Finally, I commend the initiative to include a concluding chapter to the paper.</p>	Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>I see no need to make comments in this section. I reiterate that this is a very satisfactory article.</p>	Okay
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	