

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMCS_83126
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ENERGY OF CONJUGATE GRAPH OF A DIHEDRAL GROUP
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamcs.com/index.php/JAMCS/editorial-policy>)

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. The space between the 1st sentence and the 2nd sentence in the summary should be the same as the other sentences.</p> <p>2. The Definition 2.10 title in the "2. PRELIMINARIES" section should be at the top of the next page.</p> <p>3. The description of the Proof header in the "3. MAIN RESULT" section should be on the same page.</p> <p>4. Reference should be made to the Algebra source used in the article.</p> <p>5. It should be on the same page with the Figure 1 title in the "3. MAIN RESULT" section.</p> <p>6. The conclusion section can be expanded a little more.</p> <p>7. Some references should have a single line space between them. (There are no spaces between some references.)</p> <p>8. The Solution title in Example 3.3 should be at the top of the next page.</p>	Thanks for the observation; I will look forward to correcting it.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	