

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_85828
Title of the Manuscript:	Resistance and Application of the Biomass from Fusarium solani in the Removal of Pentachlorophenol in Aqueous Solution
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. The text of the article should be read carefully and the writing errors should be eliminated, including the title of the article.</p> <p>2. XRD, FTIR plots, TEM images and the corresponding EDS analysis should be provided.</p> <p>If the morphology of the particles is similar, they will not be recognizable from the image, especially if the particles become agglomerated. But they can be identified using EDS.</p> <p>3 Some new advances on removal can be referenced to attract more readerships (* Sep. Purif. Technol. 235 (2020) 116228, Chemical Engineering Journal, 2019, 370, 1366-1375.; Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 2019, 243, 313-321.; Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 2019, 241, 187-195).</p> <p>4 The authors should state more strongly the originality of their work at the end of the introduction.</p>	<p>1.- It was corrected in the text</p> <p>2.- We believe that the graphics are correct and adequate in the way they are presented, but if they believe that they should be changed, we are in the best position to do so.</p> <p>3.- The references are very good, but it is impossible to put all of them, we think that the ones found in the text are the most appropriate, but if you think they should be included, we are in the best position to do so</p> <p>4.- It was corrected in the text</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	