

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_85033
Title of the Manuscript:	IN -VITRO EVALUATION OF THE ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITY OF ESSENTIAL OILS OF SOME MEDICINAL PLANTS ON PHYTOPATHOGENIC STRAINS IN THE KISANGANI REGION (DRC)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title is reflecting the content of the paper in a clear and attractive way. The summary is correctly written and it contains all the important elements of the paper. The paper has a relevant and interesting context. The problem is well targeted. The text is correctly written (written in a correct way). Materials and methods are acceptable, reliable with sufficient information for a possible repetition. The experimental design and the statistic analysis is adequate. The results are presented in a clear and didactical way and contain sufficient data. The discussion and interpretation of the results is reasonable and sufficient. The used bibliography is actualized, sufficient and well qualified. The level of the language is adequate.	Noted and received with thanks dear reviewer
Minor REVISION comments	The submitted article is relevant and meets the requirements of scientific articles. The article deserves publication.	Received with thanks dear
Optional/General comments	It would be nice if the author could reconsider the spelling issues.	Thanks dear

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	