

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Microbiology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_84257
Title of the Manuscript:	SCREENING ASCLEPIAS SYRIACA FOR ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Antimicrobial data not statistically analysed</b> <b>Concentration of plant extract not mentioned</b> <b>Ciprofloxacin control concentration not mentioned</b> <b>Why the author has selected few gram-negative bacteria alone for antibacterial activity (both MBC and MIC)</b> <b>Author should justify in MIC of different extract no variation in result.</b>	MIC and MBC values are not usually analysed statistically as literature has reviewed so far. The values recorded are the various concentrations of the extract used. The few gram negative bacteria were selected because of the traditional use of the plant, i.e the plant is used for treating human typhoid hence the selection of <i>S. Typhi</i> . <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> was selected on the basis that it is inherently resistant to antimicrobial agents and the <i>S. Typhimurium</i> was for further animal studies of the extract.  Work on stem extract has not been documented in literature.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	