

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_88414
Title of the Manuscript:	Structure Based Docking of Secondary Metabolites against Alpha-amylase and Alpha-glucosidase Activities in Treating Diabetes
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal' s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ' **lack of Novelty**' , provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer' s comment	Author' s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Fix grammatical revision Check typos and spellings Add following references Jhong CH, Riyaphan J, Lin SH, Chia YC, Weng CF. S creening alpha-glucosidase and alpha-amylase inhibitors from natural compounds by molecular docking in silico. Biofactors. 2015 Jul 8;41(4):242-51. Etsassala NG, Badmus JA, Marnewick JL, Iwuoha EI, Nchu F, Hussein AA. Alpha-glucosidase and alpha-amylase inhibitory activities, molecular docking, and antioxidant capacities of salvia aurita constituents. Antioxidants. 2020 Nov 19;9(11):1149.	The article has been proof read to ensure that it is free from grammatical, typos and spelling errors. The authors appreciate the reviewer's suggestion with regards to the addition of some references, but it was discovered that they were not cited in the entire article. We included only the references cited in the main article. The suggested references have already been cited by the authors in another article titled: " Antidiabetic potential of phenolic compounds from Gymnema sylvester: In vitro and In silico analysis".
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Just few notes to raise paper value (most important one is the order of references)	The order of references have been noted and rearranged.

PART 2:

	Reviewer' s comment	Author' s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There is no ethical issue regarding this manuscript.