

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_85780
Title of the Manuscript:	Farmers' Information-inputs and their sway on Coffee Productivity in the West of Rift, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The work describes a study on how certain knowledge influences productivity in coffee production. The methodology used, the variables studied, the purpose of the study are described in a detailed and precise way. The data are analyzed by the appropriate use of many statistical tools . the tables are numerous and very explanatory. The language, even with some repetition of concept at the beginning, is rigorous, clear even if it requires a lot of attention in the reading. The bibliography is vast. The results while not surprising are well explained and can also be applied to other cases	Comments noted and appreciated. The article has been revised to reduce repeated explanations on concepts.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></i>	