

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_84856
Title of the Manuscript:	Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using <i>Pleurotus ostreatus</i>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Give reason to opt GC-MS analysis for the determination of phytochemical constituents	Thank you for This. GC-MS is the preferred standard for forensic identification; it is very sensitive and can detect analytes at very low concentrations up to part per billion. It is also the preferred machine in terms of costs and operation.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Abstract : Study design should be mentioned Check grammatical mistake Check citation format of the journal and make necessary changes Conclusion may be rewrite concisely	The study design is experimental and analytical. Thank you for the observation, the English has been corrected. Citation format was corrected. The Conclusion was rewritten.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Introduction: Background information to undertake the investigation is concisely described Materials and Method: Followed standard procedures Result and Discussion: The results are properly presented and substantiated the data with earlier reports in same line. Conclusion: It should be rewrite and restricted in two or three sentence	Thank you. The Conclusion was rewritten and restricted to three sentences.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues.