

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Applied Life Sciences International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JALSI_84163
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF AQUEOUS EXTRACT OF THE AERIAL PARTS OF LEONURUS CARDIACA ON CISPLATIN-INDUCED HEPATO-RENAL DAMAGE IN WISTAR ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljalsi.com/index.php/JALSI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The scientific name of the plant should be wrote in italic	Done. The scientific name of the plant has been italicized and highlighted yellow as requested' For my experimental design, there is no other way it can be written without stating the total number of albino rats used as well as their weight even though they have been previously mention either in the abstract or anywhere in the work.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		For my experimental design, there is no other way it can be written without stating the total number of albino rats used as well as their weight even though they have been previously mention either in the abstract or anywhere in the work. In interpretation of data subjected to statistical analysis, treated groups are best compared with normal and negative control values using significantly different from normal or negative control. Or significant increases was observed in the treated group at p<0.05 when compared to normal or negative control.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Add AQUEOUS EXTRACT at Keys words	Done:

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No	No sir. Rather, ethical approval certificate was issued to research after thorough examination on the contents of the work by the University of Port Harcourt Ethical Commitee